

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Richard ORMSON
Title: DUAL MODE MOBILE COMMUNICATION DEVICES AND
METHOD FOR SELECTING A NETWORK
Appl. No.: Unassigned
Filing Date: November 20, 2003
Examiner: Unassigned
Art Unit: Unassigned

CLAIM FOR CONVENTION PRIORITY

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

The benefit of the filing date of the following prior foreign application filed in the following foreign country is hereby requested, and the right of priority provided in 35 U.S.C. § 119 is hereby claimed.

In support of this claim, filed herewith is a certified copy of said original foreign application:


Great Britain Patent Application No. 0227524.6
filed 11/26/2002.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 20, 2003

FOLEY & LARDNER
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5407
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

By



for /

David A. Blumenthal
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 26,257

Reg. No.
38,819

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.


In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 7 October 2003

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form.)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

Fee: £0

1. Your reference

AJR/45252

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0227524.6

26 NOV 2002

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

NEC TECHNOLOGIES (UK) LIMITED
The Imperium (Level 3)
Imperial Way
Reading
Berkshire RG2 0TD
UNITED KINGDOM

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

7012313002

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of incorporation

UNITED KINGDOM

4. Title of the invention

IMPROVEMENTS IN STANDBY TIME FOR DUAL MODE MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS DEVICE

5. Full name, address and postcode in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence relating to this form and translation should be sent

Reddie & Grose
16 Theobalds Road
LONDON
WC1X 8PL

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

91001 ✓

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application
(If you know it)

Date of filing
(day/month/year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing
(day/month/year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

YES

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 9

Claim(s) 4

Abstract

Drawing(s) 4

+ 4

fl

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) 1

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

A J Robson

Date
26 November 2002

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

A J ROBSON
020-7242 0901

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Improvements in Standby Time for Dual Mode Mobile
Communication Devices

This invention relates to improvements in standby time in a dual mode mobile communication device such as a mobile telephone or PDA with a cellular connection.

Currently the most commonly used cellular communication network in most countries uses GSM technology to communicate. However, improvements in communication technology are being made and new communication formats are being produced. At least in the initial stages of rollout of a new format communication network, coverage will be patchy. Therefore, it is necessary for new handsets to be able to operate on at least the old network, e.g. GSM and new network, e.g. UMTS and to switch between the two for both monitoring the networks for quality of service and for receiving and making calls.

The trend has been to make smaller and smaller mobile communication devices such as mobile telephones. However, to be attractive to the public these devices require a significant standby time in order that they do not need to be recharged too frequently. Improvements in battery technology have enabled a reduction in size whilst at the same time permitting the standby time to be increased.

The major influences on standby time are battery size, the current which is drawn in receive mode when the device is monitoring the network for any incoming calls, and the time which is spent in receive mode.

A device which operates in a single network only has to monitor that network for incoming calls and does this periodically. However, a dual mode handset has to monitor two networks that use different access technologies (using two networks of the same technology is not a particular problem). Therefore, the time spent in receive mode will

inevitably be higher than in a single network device. Typically, in a dual mode device, 40% of the standby current used is accounted for by leakages, 35% by monitoring the primary network, and 25% by monitoring the secondary network.

Preferred embodiments of the present invention seek to reduce the amount of power consumed by a dual mode handset when it is in standby mode.

The invention is defined in more detail in its various aspects in the appended claims to which reference should now be made.

Preferred embodiments of the invention will now be described in detail by way of example with reference to the drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows schematically how a dual mode phone might monitor both networks;

Figure 2 shows an improvement on the arrangement of **Figure 1** in which a primary network is predominately monitored with a secondary network monitored less frequently;

Figure 3 shows how monitoring of two networks is performed in accordance with an embodiment of the invention; and

Figure 4 shows an alternative embodiment of the invention.

Figure 1 shows how a dual mode communication device can monitor two networks. The device is switched to standby mode at 2. It then goes into a loop in which it performs three operations. Firstly it monitors a number of cells in the first network at 4 and determines best signal quality Q1 at 5. Secondly it monitors the second network at 6 and determining its best signal quality Q2 at 7. Finally a comparison between qualities Q1 and Q2 is made at 8 and in response to this, the communication

device selects the network giving the best quality reception at 10. There is then a delay 12 in the loop before the device again starts to monitor the first network at 4. If a call is received while it is
5 monitoring either of the two networks this information is passed to call receive unit (not shown). This causes the phone to come out of the standby mode and subsequently to communicate on the first or second network in dependence on the network currently selected for communication.

10 Whilst this arrangement gives an acceptable solution to the monitoring of two networks in a dual mode device it is not particularly efficient. Half the monitoring activity is devoted to the second network even though the first network may be perfectly acceptable. This problem
15 is partly solved by the arrangement of Figure 2.

 In Figure 2, the device again goes into standby mode at 2. The main components are the same as those shown in Figure 1. However, after the determination of Q1 at 5, there is a determination made to see if an elapsed time T
20 since the second network was last monitored exceeds a threshold X. If it does not there is a delay 14 before the first network is again monitored at 4.

 If T exceeds X then the second network is monitored at 6 as in Figure 1 and a determination of Q2 made at 7
25 and compared with Q1 at 8. Switch 10 then switches communication for the device to network 2 if Q1 has fallen below a threshold T1 and Q2 is sufficiently high. After this, network 1 is again monitored at 4 and the loop continues as before. At 10 there is a selection back to
30 network 1 when Q1 exceeds X, irrespective of the level of Q2.

 This arrangement is a simple way of giving priority to the currently selected network. A variation on this solution is described in current GSM and UMTS
35 specifications with defined values for the intra system

delay and the variable X. However, the solution is not optimal precisely because the time delays are fixed. We therefore propose a system with dynamically variable timings. The intervals used are established by
5 considering the quality measures of the currently selected network.

In the preferred embodiment of the present invention illustrated in Figure 3, the frequency of monitoring of the second network is adjusted according to the signal
10 quality currently seen on the first network.

As discussed above, when networks are monitored, a quality assessment for the received signal is made for the particular radio access network (RAN). The measurements for different networks are different and so a scheme has
15 to be devised to be used for comparison. The scheme used will be dependent on the two networks being compared but for the purposes of this description, the GSM and UMTS RANs will be described.

When a device is camped on to a GSM network, a number
20 of quality measures can be made. These are:

- received signal strength (RSSI),
- bit error rate (BER),
- block error rate (BLER), and
- frame erasure rate (FER).

25 These are only four of the possible measures. In normal single mode operation, a combination of some or all of these parameters along with offset values provided by the network enables an estimate of the quality of the signal being received from a given cell in the cellular
30 network to be made. Offset values can be changed by the network to make any given cell look more or less attractive than its neighbours and thus equalise loading on the network. UMTS works in a broadly similar way although the technology is significantly different. Also,

the measures used are different. Examples for the measures are:

pilot channel received signal code power (CPICH RSCP) and

5 signal to noise ratio (Eb/No).

Again, offset values can be applied by the network to equalise network loading. As discussed above, when the cells of one network technology are compared to those of another, mapping functions are used to translate the
10 quality measurements to a common format.

Preferably when monitoring of a network is performed, be it either the first or second network, the signal quality from neighbouring cells is measured as well as that of the current serving cell. By looking at the
15 current serving cell and neighbouring cells, it is possible to assess the likelihood of re-selection to another network being desirable.

The scheme behind the decision as to whether or not to look at re-selection makes use of two thresholds T1 and
20 T2 which are used when assessing the quality measure Q for the camped on network. The purposes of this description we refer to Q as Q1 for the first network and Q2 for the second network.

Q1 is derived by a monitoring of the first network
25 from the current serving cell and the neighbouring cells by giving appropriate weighting to the various cells examined. When Q1 is above T1, network re-selection is extremely unlikely and therefore no monitoring is performed on the second network.

30 When Q1 is below T2, re-selection is likely and therefore monitoring of the second network must be made in accordance with its specifications.

When Q1 on the first network is between T1 and T2, there is a possibility that re-selection to the second
35 network will be required. Therefore, some monitoring has

to be performed on the second network whilst the device remains camped on the first network. However, this can be at a reduced rate, preferably on a sliding scale dependent upon the relative quality levels of Q1 and Q2. Preferably
5 a linear sliding scale is used. This rate can therefore be changed as Q1 changes. As an alternative, in the $T1 < Q1 < T2$ region the rate can also be changed according to the level of Q2. For example, if Q1 is much higher than Q2 the re-selection is unlikely. This leads to a second
10 sliding scale, this time based on the difference between Q1 and Q2. Preferably a linear relationship is used. For the best system the two sliding scales should be combined.

T1 and T2 will be network technology dependent and will need to be carefully assessed in each case. However,
15 the principle can be applied to any given quality measurement in any network technology. There is also the possibility of making T1 and T2 dynamically adjustable to allow them to be set by network broadcast parameters. This would allow the best values for a particular location
20 to be used.

An embodiment of this invention is shown in Figure 3. The device is switched to standby mode at 2 and monitoring of the first network on which the device is camped on commences at 4. Signal quality is determined at 5. A
25 comparison of this with threshold T1 is then made at 20. If Q1 is greater than T1 the monitoring of the first network continues at 4 with subsequent repeated determinations of signal quality Q1 at 5 and comparison with T1 at 20.

30 If Q1 drops below the threshold of T1 a comparison of Q1 is then made with T2 at 22. If Q1 is lower than T2, then monitoring of the second network commences at 6. A determination of signal quality of Q2 is made at 7 and this is compared with Q1 at 8. The best network is then
35 selected at 10 and the system camps on that network at 11.

This involves entering a loop similar to loop 4, 5, 20, 22 for either network with thresholds in decision boxes 20, 22 being set in dependence on the selected network.

5 If Q1 exceeds T1 as determined via 20 and 22, the device is switched back to camp on to the first network. When the determination from 20 and 22 is that Q1 is between T1 and T2, the device monitors the first and second networks at 32 and determines Q1 and Q2 at 34. A monitoring frequency for subsequent monitoring in this
10 loop is derived at 35. This can be based on a sliding scale linked to Q1 or Q2 or on a combination of both Q1 and Q2 as discussed above. Preferably a linear relationship is used to determine the monitoring frequency. A decision is made as to whether to switch
15 from the first network to the second network. If the relative qualities are such that it would be preferable to switch to the second network this is performed at 36. Q1 is then compared with T1 at 38 and if it exceeds T1 the system returns to monitoring of the first network at 4.
20 If Q1 does not exceed T1 it is compared with T2 at 40. If it is still greater than T2 the monitoring takes place again at 32 at the frequency previously selected at 35. If it is less than T2 the control process to second network monitoring as discussed above.

25 The arrangement of Figure 3 can be modified to enable a network provider to ensure that its customers predominately use the network they provide, particularly in places where they provide only the primary network, e.g. the GSM network and not the second network, e.g. UMTS
30 network. Therefore, the parameters will be set to make it as attractive as possible to the partner and to prevent re-selections to the second network unless absolutely necessary. For re-selection to the second network to be made as unlikely as possible there is little point in
35 performing full monitoring on it. The modification

required to Figure 3 to achieve this are straightforward and will be clear to those skilled in the art.

The net result of the system of Figure 3 is that in areas where there is strong coverage from the camped on network, monitoring of the second network will not occur, thereby leading to a significant reduction in standby time. We believe this reduction can be as great as 33%. In areas where quality of Q1 is less than T2 monitoring of both networks will be performed as there will be no significant increase in standby time. In areas where Q1 falls between T1 and T2, the monitoring of the two networks will proceed at an intermediate rate dependent on the actual level of Q1 and Q2 and the improvement in standby time will be up to 33%. The figure of 33% is given by way of example. Different devices will offer different levels of improvement in standby time. However, we believe that some improvement will always be possible.

Further embodiment of this invention is shown in Figure 4. The device is switched to standby mode at 2 and a determination as to whether or not the network 1 timer has expired is made at 40. It is has expired then monitoring of network 1 commences at 4. Network timers control the monitoring intervals for the networks. If the interval has not been reached (as indicated by the timer expiring) the device remains in standby mode. A determination of the signal quality on network 1 (Q1) is made at 5. A comparison of this with threshold T1 is then made at 20. If T1 is greater than Q1 network 2 monitoring is disabled at 21. A determination as to whether to not network 2 monitoring is enabled is made at 42. As this has just been disabled the result will be no and the system passes back to standby mode at 2 and subsequent network 1 monitoring.

If at 20 Q1 is determined to be lower than T1 a comparison of it is then made with T2 at 22. If it is

less than T2 then full network 2 monitoring is enabled at 23 and then a determination made as to whether or not network 2 monitoring is enabled at 42. This will be discussed after the remainder of the network 1 monitoring.

5 If Q1 is not less than T2 then a reduced monitoring of network 2 is enabled at 44. Subsequently a network 2 timer interval is calculated at 46. Control then flows to 42 where a determination is made as to whether or not network 2 monitoring is enabled. It is in this case
10 enabled and also would be enabled in response to enabling at 23. A determination is made as to whether or not the network 2 timer has expired at 48. If it has, network 2 is monitored at 50. A determination of the signal quality on network 2 is made at 52 and a comparison of this with
15 Q1 made at 54. In response to this comparison, a selection of the best quality signal is made and if appropriate a new network is camped onto at 56. Optionally, the network timer interval can be calculated using the new Q2 value at 58.

20 No changes are proposed to dual mode monitoring operation when the device is in connected mode. This is because monitoring is not a major factor in battery usage when the phone is in talk time mode, i.e. it is communicating.

25 Also, no changes are proposed to the intermittent search operation as this only applies in particular circumstances.

CLAIMS

1. A dual mode mobile communication device comprising means for repeatedly monitoring and determining signal quality of signals received on a first network, whilst the
5 signal quality remains above a predetermined first threshold, means for also monitoring and determining signal quality of signals received on a second network at selected intervals if the determined signal quality in the first network falls below the first threshold and for
10 switching communication to the second network in dependence on the relative qualities of the two signals, and means for also monitoring and determining signal quality of signals received on the second network more frequently if the signal quality on the first network
15 falls beneath a second lower threshold, and means for switching communication to the second network in dependence on the relative qualities of the two signals.
2. A dual mode mobile communication device according to claim 1 in which the selected intervals for monitoring the
20 first and second networks when the quality of the signal on the first network falls below the first threshold are determined in dependence on the quality of signal on the first network.
3. A dual mode mobile communication device according to
25 claim 2 in which the dependence on signal quality is a linear relationship based on two threshold levels and the current signal quality.
4. A dual mode mobile communication device according to claim 1 in which the selected intervals for monitoring the
30 first and second networks when the quality of the signal on the first network falls below the first threshold are

dependent on the quality of the signal received on the second network relative to the quality of the signal received on the first network.

5 5. A dual mode mobile communication device according to claim 4 in which the dependence on signal quality is a linear relationship based on the difference between the two signal quality levels.

10 6. A dual mode mobile communication device according to claim 1 in which the selected intervals for monitoring the first and second network when the quality of the signal falls below the first threshold are dependent on both the quality of the signal received on the first network and the quality of the signal received on the second network relative to the quality of the signal received on the first network.

15

7. A dual mode mobile communication device according to claim 6 in which both dependencies are linear.

20 8. A dual mode mobile communication device according to any preceding claim wherein the threshold values can be dynamically changed.

9. A dual mode mobile communication device according to claim 8 wherein changes to the threshold values are broadcast by either one of the mobile networks or both and are received by the device.

25 10. A method for selecting a network for communication in a dual mode mobile communication device comprising the steps of:

 selecting a first network for communication;

repeatedly monitoring and determining signal quality on the first network whilst the signal quality remains above a first threshold;

5 monitoring and determining signal quality on the second network at selected intervals if the determined signal quality in the first network falls below the first threshold;

switching communication to the second network in dependence on the relative qualities of the two signals;

10 increasing the frequency of monitoring and determining the quality of the signal received on the second network if the quality of the signal received on the first network falls below a lower second threshold.

11. A method according to claim 10 in which monitoring and determining of signal quality on the first and second networks when the quality in the first network falls below the first threshold is performed at intervals dependent on the quality of the signal received on the first network.

12. A method according to claim 11 in which the dependence on signal quality is a linear relationship based the two threshold levels and the current signal quality.

13. A method according to claim 10 in which the monitoring and determining of signal quality on the first and second networks when the quality of the signal on the first network falls below the first threshold is performed at intervals dependent on the quality of the signal received on the second network relative to the quality of the signal received on the first network.

14. A method according to claim 10 in which the dependence on signal quality is a linear relationship

based on the difference between the two signal quality levels.

15. A method according to claim 10 in which the selected intervals for monitoring the first and second networks
5 when the quality of the signal falls below the first threshold are dependent on both the quality of the signal received on the first network and the quality of the signal received on the second network relative to the quality of the signal received on the first network.
- 10 16. A method according to claim 15 in which both dependencies are linear.
17. A method according to any of claims 10 to 16 including the step of dynamically changing the threshold value.
- 15 18. A method according to claim 17 including the step of broadcasting the threshold values by either or both mobile networks.
19. A dual mode mobile communication device substantially as herein described.
- 20 20. A method for selecting a network for communication in a dual mode mobile communication device substantially as herein described.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIGURE 1

ORMSON 040373/0342

FOLEY & LARDNER
Washington Harbour
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20007-5109

DABL




FIGURE 2

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIGURE 3

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIGURE 4

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)